

International Design Journal IDJ

EISSN: 2090 - 9640 ISSN: 2090 - 9632 PAPER EVALUATION FORM

Paper Number:

Reviewer Number:
 Paper Number:

 Reviewer Number:

Paper Title:

Dear Reviewer

Please notice that:

- Reviewing needs to be conducted confidentially. The article you have been asked to review should not be disclosed to a third party. If you wish to elicit opinion from colleagues or students regarding the article you should let the editor know beforehand. You are kindly requested to avoid contacting the author(s).
- Be aware when you submit your review that any recommendations you make will contribute to the final decision made by the editor in chief.
- In this form, you are asked to evaluate the article at hand on a number of criteria.
- Please indicate your assessment of each criterion by marking or highlighting the number that corresponds to your opinion
- **DO NOT** sign any portion of this form as copies of the completed evaluation form and comments will be sent to the author(s).
- Please return your review results to the Editor in chief in two weeks at most. Thank You.

Evaluation Criteria:	←tend to reject					Tend to accept→						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
The problem being studied is significant.	\Box			\Box						\Box		
The title reflects the content of the paper.	\Box											
The abstract is short and informative enough to stand on its own												
Enough precise keywords are provided	\Box	\Box		\Box	\Box				\Box	\Box		
The introduction of the paper describes the problem within a theoretical framework.												
The background reveals a relationship to the problem.	\Box	\Box					\Box			\Box		
Enough literature is provided in the background.	\Box	\Box					\Box			\Box		
The sources cited are original, reliable, important, & recent.	\Box	\Box								\Box		
The paper includes clearly stated research question		\Box	\Box			\Box	\Box	\Box		\Box		
Appropriate research design/method has been used.		\Box	\Box			\Box	\Box	\Box		\Box		
Results have been reported and are applicable and of interest to the field.												
Appropriate, correct and rigorous analysis of the research question and/or subject matter is provided.												
Accurate and useful interpretation has been made.							\Box					
Sound argument and discussion has been provided.		\Box					\Box					
The study has been evaluated and compared to similar studies (if any).												
Logical conclusions from the data have be drawn.		\Box					\Box					
Conclusion describes implications for theory, research, and/or practice.												
Style sheet of the IDJ journal have been observed.												
Table/figure captions are correct (if applicable).												
The reference list follows any of APA, MLA, Turabian, or Chicago Citation Styles.												

Overall Evaluation

←----- Low------→

←-----High-----→

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Appropriate Title, Introduction, and Conclusion				\Box	\Box					
Technical Content and Accuracy				\Box	\Box					
Significance of the Work	\Box									
Overall Organization	\Box									
Appropriateness for IDJ 2012				\Box	\Box	\Box			\Box	
Style and Clarity of the Paper	\Box									



Originality of Content						\Box				
Self Evaluation	←-		Low	/	->	÷		Hig	h	→
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
As a referee how do you rate your knowledge, ability and confidence in reviewing this paper?							D			

Comments To Author: (Please use additional sheet(s) if necessary)

- •
- •
- •
-

Final Comment:

Acceptable unconditionally	
Acceptable with minor modifications	
Acceptable with major modifications	
Not Acceptable for the reasons above	